UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,315	04/22/2005	Yuichiro Ogawa	123624	9956
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 06/27/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 320850			FISCHER, JUSTIN R	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/532,315	OGAWA, YUICHIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Justin R. Fischer	1791			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Fe	ebruary 2008.				
	action is non-final.				
<i>;</i> —	<i>;</i> —				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		, tollon of lond   10 10 2			
<u> </u>	muianitus undan 25 H.C.C. \$ 110/a	\			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/532,315 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. It is initially noted that the examiner erroneously included claims 8-14 in Group I. Claims 8-14, which are directed to a stamping device comprising a tensioner, a rubber sheet delivery means, and a cutter, are properly included with Group V of the previous restriction (new Group II). The changes in claim groupings are highlighted in bold below.

Also, in response to applicant's arguments, an examination of all of the claims would involve a serious burden, as evidence by the lack of unity of invention. In particular, the claims fail to include common subject matter that would represent a special technical feature. For example, Group I defines a tire manufacturing method in which a first sidewall rubber layer is sandwiched between a pair of second sidewall rubber layers. Group II, on the other hand, is directed to a stamping device comprising, among other things, a rubber sheet delivery means, a tensioner, and a cutter- it is evident that the claims of Group II are entirely silent with respect to the above noted tire construction and the stamping device set forth in Group II does not constitute the only device capable of performing the tire manufacturing method. Thus, it is clear that the respective groups are directed to different inventions, each having a unique and separate means for establishing patentability. This rationale is similarly applicable to the additional groups.

## Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,315 Page 3

Art Unit: 1791

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I, claim(s) <u>1-7 and 15</u>, drawn to a method of manufacturing a tire and the associated tire.
- Group II, claim(s) <u>8-14 and 22-24</u>, drawn to a stamping device including a tensioner, a rubber sheet delivery means, and a cutter.
- Group III, claim(s) 16 and 17, drawn to a method of stamping a rubber sheet member in the annular state on a side face of a body of rotation.
- Group IV, claim(s) 18-21, drawn to a method of stamping a rubber sheet member on a side face of a body of rotation in the annular state.
- Group V, claim(s) 25-28, drawn to a stamping device including an extruder, a rolling machine, and a cutter.
- 3. The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: as detailed above, there is no common subject matter to all the claims and as such, lack of unity of invention is present "a priori".
- 4. A telephone call was made to James Oliff on June 19, 2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Justin R. Fischer** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1215**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,315 Page 5

Art Unit: 1791

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Justin Fischer

/Justin R Fischer/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791